

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

EVOX PRODUCTIONS, LLC,

No. 3:16-cv-00057-PK

Plaintiff,

v.

CHROME DATA SOLUTIONS, LP,

ORDER

Defendant.

HERNANDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Papak issued a Findings & Recommendation (#36) on August 31, 2016, in which he recommends the Court deny Defendant's motion to dismiss and the Court stay the action for forty-five days pending mediation. Defendant has timely filed objections to the Findings & Recommendation. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings & Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the

Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).


I have carefully considered Defendant's objections and conclude there is no basis to modify the Findings & Recommendation. I have also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and find no other errors in the Magistrate Judge's Findings & Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings & Recommendation [36], and therefore, Defendant's motion to dismiss [24] is denied and this action is stayed for forty-five days pending mediation. Plaintiff's motion for judicial notice [29] is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1 day of November 2016.


MARCO A. HERNANDEZ
United States District Judge